

In same-sex scenarios, God distinguishes between women and men

This will shock some of you: Women lying with women were not stoned under the Law of Moses. I didn't believe this myself until I looked it up. Leviticus 20 lists the sexual sins; check it out. Adultery is a capital offense, and so is a man lying with his mother, or his daughter, or any number of female family members. Verse 13 condemns men penetrating other men: "If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination; they shall surely be put to death." The next verse warns against sex with mothers-in-law (not a problem for most men), and verse 15 administers death to a man who lies with a beast. Women receive the same warning in the next verse, verse 16.

So where is the verse keeping women apart? IT ISN'T HERE. Why? *Because women cannot penetrate one another.* There is something physically and spiritually serious about where the male member of both man and beast ventures. In 1 Cor. 6:16, a man who *joins* a prostitute becomes one body with her. Is he joining her for dinner? No. He is *physically* joining her; the issue is penetration.

Paul writes in 1 Cor. 11:14 that "nature itself teaches you" that long hair on a man is dishonorable, but on a woman it is glorious. It's the same with female intimacies. Females can dance together, cuddle, and comb one another's hair, and even grandmother says, "Oh, that is so nice." Let the above happen among boys and see how granny reacts. The lesson? Here is my guess: *Female intimacies show us something of the beauty and gentleness of God.*

Martin Zender's Clanging Gong News

"If I know all mysteries and all knowledge, but have no love, I am a clanging gong" --1 Cor. 13:1-2



Two out of three isn't bad.

What about homosexuals?

WARNING: This issue of the *Clanging Gong News* discusses mature sexual themes that are unsuitable to younger audiences. This is no joke.

Does God love people who are sexually attracted to their own gender, or is homosexuality the one sin that has somehow narrowly escaped the crosswork of Christ? I ask because there is a considerable segment of the Christian populace that not only looks down upon sinners in general, but lowers the holy boom upon those who fall into this socially unaccepted subdivision. For a reality check, let's check Romans 5:18,19—

"Consequently, then, as it was through one offense for all mankind for condemnation, thus also it is through one just award for all mankind for life's justifying. For even as, through the disobedience of the one man, the many were constituted sinners, thus also, through the obedience of the One, the many shall be constituted just."

From this verse we learn that even Christians are constituted sinners and—apart from Christ—condemned right along with the rest of mankind, including homosexuals. This news will no doubt disappoint many of the Christians.



Some Christians say that Christ cannot save a homosexual until the homosexual repents. The Christians say this while smoking cigarettes, coveting their neighbor's car, and dying with so much trans fat and sugar in their systems that they don't need embalmed.

The popular saying among Christians who no longer sin is, "Love the sinner; hate the sin"—at which time the Christians threaten the sinner with eternal torment.

(Continued on page 2)

"Nature itself teaches you" 1 Cor. 11:14

Long Hair





Lovely

Creepy

Dancing



Cuddling



Nice

Not so much

Cinema Kiss



Can't look away

Can't look away fast enough

...what about homosexuals?


Thank God that Jesus Christ, the Savior of us all, justifies the same “many” who are made sinners by Adam’s disobedience. For a back-up passage (it doesn’t hurt to have one) let’s go to 1 Cor. 15:22—

“For even as, in Adam, all are dying, thus also, in Christ, shall all be vivified. Yet each in his own class.”

Not only are Christians dying right along with homosexuals, but homosexuals will be vivified right along with Christians. This news will upset the Christians all over again.

“Do you mean to tell me that those...those...*homos* are going to be where *I* am someday?”

Well, let’s see. If the...the...*homos* are *sinners*, then, yes, it appears that they are going to be where you are someday, because Christ justifies sinners. But don’t worry; God will put the



homos in a separate department of heaven so they won’t have to smell your hypocrisy.

It is amazing how quickly self-righteous religionists (sorry for the redundancy) fall prey to the “Oh-I-forgot-God-changed-me” syndrome. In 1 Corinthians 6:9-10, Paul puts paramours (male prostitutes), adulterers, sodomites, catamites, idolaters, thieves, drunkards, and extortioners, in the same category as “the greedy.” So watch out, Joel Osteen followers!

“And some of you were these,” Paul says, “but you are bathed off, but you are hal- lowed, but you were justified in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ and by the spirit of our God” (1 Cor. 6:11).

Yes, God is going to change all of us— even Christians, if you can believe it. ■

The sin of Romans 1:26



“For their females, besides, alter the natural use into that which is beside nature.” —Rom. 1:26

I have always assumed this verse to be referring to females lying with females, but only because of the following verse

(27) dealing with males:

“Likewise also the males, besides, leaving the natural use of the female, were inflamed in their craving for one another, males with males, effecting indecency, and getting back in themselves the retribution of their deception which must be.”

And yet how can a female lying with another female “alter the natural use?” We know that the natural use of the female sex part is to receive the opposing male part. But unlike a male, a female has nothing to give. Therefore, a female cannot cause another female to “alter the natural use.” The natural use of the female must be altered some other way, that is, by some unnatural form of penetration.

Have you ever wondered about the word “besides” of verse 27? There is something that the males are doing **BESIDES**—that is, *in addition to*—what the females are doing. Think about it: if Paul is condemn-

(Continued on page 3)

What a Revelation!

Paramours, catamites and sodomites are all males

According to the Keyword Concordance of the Concordant Literal New Testament, a paramour is “a male prostitute”; a catamite (Greek, *malakon*; English element, SOFT) is “a male used for unnatural purposes, joined with sodomite.” “Sodomite” comes from the Greek *arsenokoites*; its English elements are MALE-LIER.

I am told that in gay male relationships, one party plays the male role, the other the female. Scripture calls these sodomites and catamites. The way I understand it is: the sodomite *puts* it in, and the catamite *takes* it in.

This act between males is highly unnatural and not at all healthy, either mentally or physically.

Females are not mentioned here because they have nothing to put in anywhere. This is why women lying with women were never stoned under Moses, and why females get a pass here in 1 Cor. 6.

Marriage between a man and a woman is sacred; the male member entering the female receptacle pictures the divine truth of mankind as a race going toward and consummating in God. Men doing it to men pictures nothing; it is divinely empty, unnatural, unhealthy, and to be avoided like the sin that it is.

Q&A

I’m a gay male. Am I not allowed to have an intimate relationship with another man? What do you believe about gay marriage?

Gay marriage—for both genders—is a man-made absurdity. Marriage is a divine institution for men and women—only.

I’m not gay, so I can’t know what you’re going through. Can you bear your pre-disposition without engaging in the relationship? If not, then can’t you at least find a way to love your partner without putting *that* in there?

Rants & Stuff

The Apostle Paul says we should not murmur (Philippians 2:14). Therefore, I shall rant.

Love 'em no matter what

All names except Joel Osteen's have been changed.



Imagine being a parent and finding out that your son or daughter has sexual leanings toward the same gender. Or, for that matter, imagine discovering that a son or daughter is watching Joel Osteen. If this happened to me, the first thing I would cry out to heaven would be: "Lord! Where did I go wrong?"

When our children are young, we do what we can to nurture and guide them. We have one big problem, however: we are still young ourselves; we're still trying to figuring out life. If you have ever tried to figure out life, you know how exhausting it can be. When you're tired and irritable, you make mistakes. Not only do you add up numbers wrong in your checkbook, but you manage to screw up your kids *somehow*. Give yourself a break; we all do it. It's not like you woke up one morning and said, "I think I'll screw up my kids today—before breakfast, if possible."

A friend recently wrote to say that an adult daughter was pursuing a relationship with another woman. This man panicked, claimed to still have authority over his daughter, and tormented her with threats of financial and familial ruin.

I wrote: "You are upset, John, because Sarah has offended *your* sensibilities, and because *you* consider *yourself* a failure as a parent. You perceive that Sarah's decision reflects poorly upon *you*, and *you* fear that God is going to hold *you* responsible for what *you* perceive as her apostasy. Do you see how all

Such a lovely morning. I think I'll screw up my kids today.



of this revolves around you—and not your dear daughter? You are allowing your own selfish needs to ruin a precious relationship.

"Thank God, John, that He does not treat you as you are treating Sarah. She is an adult now, and no longer accountable to you. Did you not do your best to raise her? Then rest, and treat her with the grace with which God treats you. Swallow your pride and put yourself in the place of God: you are to love Sarah unconditionally. Even if she were committing a crime worthy of death, let grace rule, as it must.

"May the grace of God enlighten your heart, broaden it, and cause you to do the bigger thing—and the right thing: be reconciled to your daughter. ■

...Romans 1:26



(Continued from page 2)

ing females for being inflamed in their craving for another, females with females, effecting indecency, then he would not be saying of the males: "In addition to this, the males are inflamed in their craving for one another, males with males, effecting indecency." Since it is the males, not the females, who are said to be inflamed in their craving for one another and effecting indecency, then this is not what Paul is censuring the females for.

What are the females doing? They are allowing animals to penetrate them. Sexual sin is chiefly a sin of penetration, and *penetration is impossible for two females*.

Bestiality is the sexual sin for which Moses specifically condemns females, and it is also the sexual sin rehearsed here in

Romans by Paul. The "altering the natural use" of Romans 1:26 is females allowing themselves to be penetrated by animals, which is a confirmation of Leviticus 20:16. The "leaving the natural use" of Romans 1:27 is men penetrating one another, which is a confirmation of Leviticus 20:13.

Again, *the issue is penetration*. This is what leads to death. A woman penetrated by an animal is a defilement to God and leads to death. A man penetrated by another man is a defilement to God and also leads to death. *A woman cannot penetrate another woman*, and this is why a woman lying with a woman is *not* a defilement to God, does *not* lead to death, and was *not* condemned—not even in the law of Moses.

Don't shoot the messenger. ■